

# Structural Evidence That the Methionyl Aminopeptidase from *Escherichia coli* Is a Mononuclear Metalloprotease<sup>†</sup>

Nathaniel J. Cospér,<sup>‡</sup> Ventris M. D'souza,<sup>§</sup> Robert A. Scott,<sup>‡</sup> and Richard C. Holz<sup>\*,§</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-0300, and Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-2556

Received April 24, 2001; Revised Manuscript Received July 17, 2001

**ABSTRACT:** The Co and Fe K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of the methionyl aminopeptidase from *Escherichia coli* (*EcMetAP*) have been recorded in the presence of 1 and 2 equiv of either Co(II) or Fe(II) (i.e., [Co(II)](*EcMetAP*), [Co(II)Co(II)](*EcMetAP*), [Fe(II)](*EcMetAP*), and [Fe(II)Fe(II)](*EcMetAP*)). The Fourier transformed data of both [Co(II)](*EcMetAP*) and [Co(II)Co(II)](*EcMetAP*) are dominated by a peak at ca. 2.05 Å, which can be fit assuming 5 light atom (N,O) scatterers at 2.04 Å. Attempts to include a Co–Co interaction (in the 2.4–4.0 Å range) in the curve-fitting parameters were unsuccessful. Inclusion of multiple-scattering contributions from the outer-shell atoms of a histidine–imidazole ring resulted in reasonable Debye–Waller factors for these contributions and a slight reduction in the goodness-of-fit value ( $\chi^2$ ). These data suggest that a dinuclear Co(II) center does not exist in *EcMetAP* and that the first Co atom is located in the histidine-ligated side of the active site. The EXAFS data obtained for [Fe(II)](*EcMetAP*) and [Fe(II)Fe(II)](*EcMetAP*) indicate that Fe(II) binds to *EcMetAP* in a similar site to Co(II). Since no X-ray crystallographic data are available for any Fe(II)-substituted *EcMetAP* enzyme, these data provide the first glimpse at the Fe(II) active site of MetAP enzymes. In addition, the EXAFS data for [Co(II)Co(II)](*EcMetAP*) incubated with the antiangiogenesis drug fumagillin are also presented.

Methionyl aminopeptidases (MetAPs)<sup>1</sup> represent a unique class of proteases that are capable of removing the N-terminal methionine residues from nascent polypeptide chains (1–4). In the cytosol of eukaryotes, proteins are initiated with an N-terminal methionine residue; however, proteins synthesized in prokaryotes, mitochondria, and chloroplasts are initiated with an N-terminal formylmethionyl residue. The formyl group is initially removed by a peptide deformylase before MetAP's remove the N-terminal methionine (2). Removal of N-terminal methionine residues from nearly all newly synthesized peptides, depending on the nature of the penultimate amino acid, is essential for cotranslational and posttranslational modifications that are critical for fully functional enzymes (5), correct cellular localization, and the timely degradation of proteins (1–4). Deletion of the gene encoding MetAP is lethal to *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; therefore, MetAP's are essential for cell growth and proliferation (6–8). Recently, the type II MetAP from eukaryotes has been

identified as the molecular target for the antiangiogenesis drugs ovalicin and fumagillin (9–13). Thus, the inhibition of aminopeptidase activity in malignant tumors is critically important in preventing the growth and proliferation of these types of cells and, for this reason, has become the subject of intense efforts in inhibitor design.

The MetAP's from *E. coli*, *Homo sapiens*, and *Pyrococcus furiosus* have been crystallographically characterized (13–16). These MetAP's and all other MetAP's studied to date have been shown to have identical catalytic domains that contain a bis( $\mu$ -carboxylato)( $\mu$ -aquo/hydroxo)dicobalt core with an additional carboxylate residue at each metal site and a single histidine residue bound to one of the two metal ions (13–16). Recently, it was suggested that the in vivo metal ion for the MetAP from *E. coli* (*EcMetAP*) is Fe(II) on the basis of a combination of whole cell metal analyses and activity measurements as well as in vitro activity measurements and substrate binding constants (17, 18). In addition, the observed catalytic activity as a function of divalent metal ion and the metal binding constants for both Fe(II) and Co(II) *EcMetAP* led to the proposal that *EcMetAP* functions as a mononuclear enzyme in vivo (17). The high-affinity or catalytically relevant metal binding site was assigned as the histidine-containing site; however, no structural data exist to verify these kinetic and spectroscopic data. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy is particularly well suited to clarify structural problems of this type (19, 20). EXAFS data are sensitive to heavy atom scatterers in the second coordination sphere, providing direct evidence for dinuclear sites, if they exist. Reported herein are Co and

<sup>†</sup> This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM-56495 to R.C.H.; Grant GM-42025 to R.A.S.).

\* Address correspondence to this author. Phone: (435) 797-2609. Fax: (435) 797-3390. E-mail: rholz@cc.usu.edu.

<sup>‡</sup> University of Georgia.

<sup>§</sup> Utah State University.

<sup>1</sup> Abbreviations: CHES, 2-(*N*-cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid; EPR, electron paramagnetic resonance; EXAFS, extended X-ray absorption fine structure; HEPES, *N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-*N'*-2-ethanesulfonic acid; ICP-AES, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; MetAP, methionyl aminopeptidase; MOPS, 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid; XAS, X-ray absorption spectroscopy.

Fe K-edge EXAFS data for the catalytically competent Co(II)- and Fe(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* and the catalytically inactive Co(III)- and Fe(III)-bound forms of *EcMetAP*. In addition, EXAFS data of the Co(II)-loaded form of *EcMetAP* bound by the antiangiogenesis agent fumagillin are presented.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Protein Expression and Purification.** Recombinant *EcMetAP* was expressed and purified as previously described from a stock culture kindly provided by Drs. Brian W. Matthews and W. Todd Lowther (12, 18). Purified *EcMetAP* exhibited a single band on SDS-PAGE and a single symmetrical peak in matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometric analysis indicating  $M_r = 29\,630 \pm 10$ . Protein concentrations were estimated from the absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of  $16\,500\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (12, 18). Apo-MetAP samples were exchanged into 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, containing 150 mM KCl (Centricon-10, Millipore Corp.). Apo-MetAP samples were incubated anaerobically with  $\text{MCl}_2$ , where  $\text{M} = \text{Co(II)}$  or  $\text{Fe(II)}$  for 30 min as previously reported (18).

**Enzymatic Assay of *EcMetAP*.** *EcMetAP* was assayed for catalytic activity with Met-Gly-Met-Met as the substrate (8 mM) using an HPLC method described previously (18). This method is based on the spectrophotometric quantitation of the reaction product Gly-Met-Met at 215 nm following separation on a C8 HPLC column (Phenomenex, Luna; 5  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $4.6 \times 25\text{ cm}$ ). The kinetic parameter  $v$  (velocity) was determined at pH 7.5 by quantifying the tripeptide Gly-Met-Met at 215 nm in triplicate. Enzyme activities are expressed as units per milligram, where 1 unit is defined as the amount of enzyme that releases 1  $\mu\text{mol}$  of Gly-Met-Met at 30 °C in 1 min. Catalytic activities were determined with an error of  $\pm 10\%$ .

**X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy.** EXAFS samples of *EcMetAP* (1 mM) were frozen in polycarbonate cuvettes,  $24 \times 3 \times 1\text{ mm}$  with a 0.025 mm Mylar window covering one  $24 \times 3\text{ mm}$  face. XAS data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) with the SPEAR storage ring operating in a dedicated mode at 3.0 GeV (Table 1). The edge regions for multiple scans obtained on the same sample were compared to ensure that the sample was not damaged by exposure to X-ray radiation. EXAFS analysis was performed using EXAFSPAK software ([www.ssrsl.slaac.stanford.edu/exafspak.html](http://www.ssrsl.slaac.stanford.edu/exafspak.html)), according to standard procedures (19). Multiple-scattering analysis was performed as described previously (21). Both single- and multiple-scattering paths  $\leq 4.5\text{ \AA}$  from either the Fe or Co atom were used to identify and quantify imidazole coordination due to histidine. Multiple scattering models, calculated using FEFF v7.02 (22), were based on either bis(*N*-methylimidazole)bis(diphenylborondimethylglyoximate)iron(II) dichloromethane solvate (23) or hexakis(imidazole)cobalt(II) carbonate pentahydrate (24). The model was edited to only the metal atom and one imidazole, and the coordinates were imported into FEFF to calculate scattering amplitudes and phase shifts for each scattering path containing four or fewer legs. A constrained fitting process was then used with the following parameters: Coordination numbers were constrained to be integer or half-integer values (the latter

Table 1: X-ray Absorption Spectroscopic Data Collection

	Co EXAFS	Fe EXAFS
SR facility	SSRL	SSRL
beamline	7-3, 9-3	7-3, 9-3
current in storage ring, mA	50–100	50–100
monochromator crystal	Si[220]	Si[220]
detection method	fluorescence	fluorescence
detector type	solid-state array <sup>a</sup>	solid-state array <sup>a</sup>
scan length, min	24	20
scans in average	10	10
temperature, K	10	10
energy standard	Co foil, first inflection	Fe foil, first inflection
energy calibration, eV	7709.5	7111.3
$E_0$ , eV	7715	7120
preedge background		
energy range, eV	7390–7670	6789–7075
Gaussian center, eV	6930	6403
Gaussian width, eV	750	750
spline background		
energy range, eV	7715–7952 (4)	7120–7354 (4)
(polynomial order)	7952–8189 (4)	7354–7589 (4)
	8189–8427 (4)	7589–7822 (4)

<sup>a</sup> The 13-element Ge solid-state X-ray fluorescence detector at SSRL is provided by the NIH Biotechnology Research Resource.

representing a ligand bound to one of the two metal ions); the distances for outer-shell atoms of imidazole rings were constrained to be a constant difference with the inner-shell imidazole atom distance. Only a single  $\Delta E_0$  value was optimized. Scaling factors were determined from model compound analysis. Debye–Waller values for imidazole C<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>5</sub> atoms were constrained to be multiples of one another but were not tied to the first-shell [N<sub>1</sub>, (N,O)] Debye–Waller values. This allows non-imidazole first-shell ligands to have Debye–Waller values independent of the imidazole ligand values. Possible coordination numbers of histidyl imidazole ligands were chosen from fits that yielded chemically and physically reasonable Debye–Waller factors for the outer-shell atoms, since goodness-of-fit values ( $f'$ ) were relatively insensitive to these coordination numbers.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cobalt and iron K-edge X-ray absorption (XAS) spectra were acquired on 1 mM samples of *EcMetAP* with 1 or 2 equiv of added Co(II) (i.e., [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Co(II)-Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)], respectively) or 1 or 2 equiv of added Fe(II) (i.e., [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Fe(II)Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)], respectively), as well as for the fully loaded Co(III) and Fe(III) forms of the protein and for [Co(II)Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] incubated with the inhibitor fumagillin. For fully loaded samples (e.g., [Co(II)Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)]), the EXAFS data reveal an average of both metal ion environments. The  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  preedge transitions for [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Co(II)Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] occur at 7709 eV with a peak intensity of 0.118 and 0.127 eV, respectively (Figure 1a). Since  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  preedge transitions are Laporte forbidden in centrosymmetric environments (e.g., octahedral but not tetrahedral), the intensity of the  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  preedge transitions is inversely proportional to coordination number (assuming tetrahedral four-coordination). The intensities of the observed transitions for [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Co(II)Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] are consistent with, on average, five- or six-coordinate Co(II) sites (25, 26).




FIGURE 1: X-ray absorption K-edge spectra for *EcMetAP*: (a) [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] (solid) and [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] (dotted); (b) [Fe(II)Fe(II)(*EcMetAP*)] (solid) and [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] (dotted). In the inset, the pre-edge  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  transition is expanded.




FIGURE 2:  $k^3$ -weighted Co EXAFS (top) and Fourier transforms (bottom, over  $k = 2\text{--}12 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ ) for [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] (a; solid) and the calculated spectra for Co—(O,N)<sub>5</sub>(imid) (dotted; fit 11, Table 2) and [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] (b; solid) and the calculated spectra for Co—(O,N)<sub>5</sub>(imid) (dotted; fit 5, Table 2).

Fourier transforms (FTs) of the EXAFS data for both [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] are dominated by a peak at ca.  $2.05 \text{ \AA}$  (Figure 2). Excellent single-shell fits of EXAFS spectra for both [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] were obtained with  $6 \pm 1$  N/O scatterers at  $2.04 \text{ \AA}$  (fits 2–4 and 8–10; Table 2). Attempts to include a Co–Co interaction (in the  $2.4\text{--}4.0 \text{ \AA}$  range) in the curve-fitting parameters were unsuccessful. Inclusion of multiple-scattering contributions from the outer-shell atoms

of a histidine–imidazole ring results in reasonable Debye–Waller factors for these contributions and a slight reduction in the goodness-of-fit value ( $f'$ ) (fits 5 and 11; Table 2). This is consistent with the suggestion of a single histidine ligand from the crystallographic analyses (13–16). The Debye–Waller factor values are higher for [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] than for [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)], suggesting that the first Co atom is located in the histidine-ligated site.

The observed EXAFS spectra of Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* suggest that the Co(II) ions reside in a distorted penta- or hexacoordinate geometry, containing a histidine ligand. This is consistent with the X-ray crystal structure of Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP*, which indicates that the histidine-ligated Co(II) ion resides in a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal coordination environment while the second Co(II) ion is either trigonal bipyramidal or distorted octahedral (16, 27). The average bond distances obtained by EXAFS for both *EcMetAP* samples are in excellent agreement with the crystallographically determined bond lengths for [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] of  $2.04 \text{ \AA}$  (16). Additionally, it was recently reported, on the basis of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR data, that upon the addition of Co(II) to *EcMetAP* the first Co(II) bound to the lone histidine residue in the active site (17). The previously reported electronic absorption spectrum of *EcMetAP* upon the addition of 1 equiv of Co(II) under anaerobic conditions exhibited three resolvable d–d transitions at 580, 630, and 690 nm ( $\epsilon = 60, 50, \text{ and } 20 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively) (17). These data are also consistent with the first Co(II) ion residing in a pentacoordinate environment. Similarly, the observed EPR spectrum of [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] was shown to be a broad, featureless signal, suggesting an unconstrained ligand field (17). Thus, there is a great deal of flexibility in the ligand environment (28, 29). Moreover, the low  $E/D$  value of 0.09 (in general,  $1/3 \geq E/D \geq 0$ ) reported for [Co(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] also indicates a fairly high degree of axial symmetry. The combination of the electronic absorption, EPR, and EXAFS data suggests that the single catalytically competent Co(II) ion in *EcMetAP* resides in a site that is consistent with the histidine-containing site reported in the X-ray crystal structure of [Co(II)Co(II)(*EcMetAP*)] (16). Furthermore, the EXAFS data do not detect a Co–Co interaction, providing no support for a dinuclear Co(II) site in *EcMetAP*, as seen

Table 2: Curve-Fitting Results for Co *EcMetAP* EXAFS<sup>a</sup>

	fit	shell	$N_s$	$R_{as}$ (Å)	$\sigma_{as}^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$f'$ <sup>b</sup>
[Co(II)_( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MC20C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 10.75$	1	Co–O	4	2.05	0.0060	–1.17	0.094
	2	Co–O	5	2.04	0.0078	–1.28	0.084
	3	Co–O	6	2.05	0.0096	–1.43	0.082
	4	Co–O	7	2.04	0.0114	–1.57	0.084
	5	Co–(O,N)	6	2.05	0.0078	–1.29	0.077
		Co–C	1	2.85	0.0041		
		Co–C	1	[2.96]	[0.0043]		
		Co–C	1	[3.93]	[0.0057]		
		Co–N	1	[3.99]	[0.0057]		
	6	Co–O	5	2.05	0.0078	–1.25	0.083
		Co–Co	1	3.19	0.0140		
[Co(II)Co(II)( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MC22C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 11.42$	7	Co–O	4	2.06	0.0052	–0.74	0.090
	8	Co–O	5	2.05	0.0068	–0.84	0.078
	9	Co–O	6	2.05	0.0084	–1.03	0.072
	10	Co–O	7	2.05	0.0103	–1.21	0.073
	11	Co–(O,N)	6	2.05	0.0085	–0.99	0.069
		Co–C	1	2.83	0.0063		
		Co–C	1	[2.95]	[0.0066]		
		Co–C	1	[3.90]	[0.0087]		
		Co–N	1	[3.97]	[0.0088]		
	12	Co–O	5	2.06	0.0068	–0.83	0.077
		Co–Co	1	3.14	0.0250		
[Co(III)Co(III)( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MC33C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 11.23$	13	Co–O	4	2.05	0.0076	0.25	0.087
	14	Co–O	5	2.05	0.0097	0.02	0.078
	15	Co–O	6	2.04	0.0120	–0.35	0.076
	16	Co–O	7	2.04	0.0139	–0.67	0.077
	17	Co–(O,N)	5	2.05	0.0097	0.05	0.076
		Co–C	1	2.85	0.0133		
		Co–C	1	[2.96]	[0.0138]		
		Co–C	1	[3.92]	[0.0183]		
		Co–N	1	[3.99]	[0.0187]		
	18	Co–O	5	2.05	0.0097	–0.07	0.078
		Co–Co	1	3.07	0.0299		

<sup>a</sup> Shell is the chemical unit defined for single- and multiple-scattering calculations.  $N_s$  is the number of scatterers per shell.  $R_{as}$  is the metal scatterer distance.  $\sigma_{as}^2$  is a mean square deviation in  $R_{as}$ .  $\Delta E_0$  is the shift in  $E_0$  for the theoretical scattering functions. Numbers in square brackets were constrained to be multiples of the value above. <sup>b</sup>  $f'$  is a normalized error (chi-squared):  $f' = \{\sum_i [k^3(\chi_i^{obs} - \chi_i^{calc})]^2 / N\}^{1/2} / [(k^3\chi_i^{obs})_{max} - (k^3\chi_i^{obs})_{min}]$ .

Table 3: Curve-Fitting Results for Fe *EcMetAP* EXAFS<sup>a</sup>

	fit	shell	$N_s$	$R_{as}$ (Å)	$\sigma_{as}^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$f'$
[Fe(II)_( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MF20C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 8.42$	1	Fe–O	4	2.04	0.0080	–0.98	0.108
	2	Fe–O	5	2.03	0.0101	–1.43	0.101
	3	Fe–O	6	2.03	0.0122	–1.81	0.101
	4	Fe–O	7	2.03	0.0144	–2.14	0.106
[Fe(II)Fe(II)( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MF22C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 8.35$	6	Fe–O	4	2.03	0.0074	–2.21	0.094
	7	Fe–O	5	2.03	0.0095	–2.27	0.086
	8	Fe–O	6	2.03	0.0116	–2.47	0.087
	9	Fe–O	7	2.03	0.0137	–2.65	0.093
[Fe(III)Fe(III)( <i>EcMetAP</i> )], MF33C, 2–12 Å <sup>-1</sup> , $\Delta k^3\chi = 11.37$	10	Fe–O	4	2.02	0.0071	0.08	0.083
	11	Fe–O	5	2.02	0.0091	–0.44	0.074
	12	Fe–O	6	2.01	0.0110	–0.92	0.072
	13	Fe–O	7	2.01	0.0129	–1.33	0.074

<sup>a</sup> See footnotes to Table 2.

in the published X-ray crystal structures for all MetAP's (15, 16).

The  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  preedge transitions are observed at 7113 eV with intensities of 0.130 and 0.151 eV for [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Fe(II)Fe(II)(*EcMetAP*)], respectively (Figure 1B). The intensities of the  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  transitions are consistent with Fe(II) sites that are, on average, five-coordinate (30). These data suggest that Fe(II) binds to *EcMetAP* in a similar site to Co(II). The Fourier transforms for [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Fe(II)Fe(II)(*EcMetAP*)] (Figure 3, bottom), similar to the Co FTs, are dominated by peaks at ca. 2.03 Å. Excellent single-shell fits of this peak in both [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Fe(II)Fe(II)(*EcMetAP*)] were ob-

tained with 5 or 6 N/O scatterers per Fe atom at 2.04 or 2.03 Å (Fits 2, 3, 7, and 8; Table 3). Attempts to include either an Fe–Fe or an Fe–imidazole interaction in the curve-fitting parameters resulted in fits that did not converge.

The observed Fe(II) bond distances are in agreement with X-ray crystallographic data for the Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* (16) and are also similar to those derived from fits of Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP*. Since Fe(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* is colorless, air sensitive, and EPR silent, no structural information has been reported for the catalytically competent [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] enzyme. Therefore, the EXAFS data of [Fe(II)\_(*EcMetAP*)] and [Fe(II)Fe(II)(*EcMetAP*)] provide the first structural glimpse of the Fe(II) active site of *EcMetAP*.






FIGURE 3:  $k^3$ -weighted Fe EXAFS (top) and Fourier transforms (bottom, over  $k = 2\text{--}12 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ ) for (a)  $[\text{Fe(II)Fe(II)}(EcMetAP)]$  (solid) and the calculated spectra for Fe-O<sub>5</sub> (dotted; fit 7, Table 3) and (b)  $[\text{Fe(II)}_2(EcMetAP)]$  (solid) and the calculated spectra for Fe-O<sub>5</sub> (dotted; fit 2, Table 3).

and reveal that the first Fe(II) ion likely resides in a penta- or hexacoordinate geometry made up of oxygen or nitrogen donor ligands.

The lack of M-M FT peaks in the second shell of both Fe(II)- and Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* is consistent with the recently reported metal binding constants. The first metal binding event for Co(II)- and Fe(II)-substituted *EcMetAP* exhibited  $K_d$  values of 300 and  $200 \pm 200 \text{ nM}$ , respectively (17). In addition, it was shown that the binding of excess metal ions (<50 equiv) resulted in the loss of ~50% of the catalytic activity. The second metal binding event for Co(II) *EcMetAP* was shown to have a  $K_d$  value of  $2.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ mM}$  (17). Therefore, under the conditions in which these EXAFS samples used in this study were prepared (1 mM *EcMetAP* plus 1 or 2 equiv of divalent metal ion), one would not expect the second metal binding site to be occupied, consistent with the EXAFS data.

The lack of a second-shell metal ion scatterer is also consistent with the reported EPR signal for  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(EcMetAP)]$ . The EPR spectra of both  $[\text{Co(II)}_2(EcMetAP)]$  and  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(EcMetAP)]$  are broad, featureless, and indistinguishable in form, suggesting an unconstrained ligand field. The observed EPR signal for  $[\text{Co(II)}_2(EcMetAP)]$  integrated to one Co(II) ion per MetAP enzyme, and this signal doubled in intensity upon the addition of a second equivalent of Co(II). Moreover, the observed EPR signals followed Curie law over the temperature range 4–60 K at nonsaturating microwave powers (17). These data suggest




FIGURE 4:  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS (top) and Fourier transforms (bottom, over  $k = 2\text{--}12 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ ) for (a)  $[\text{Co(III)Co(III)}(EcMetAP)]$  (solid) and the calculated spectra for Co-O<sub>5</sub> (dotted; fit 14, Table 2) and (b)  $[\text{Fe(III)Fe(III)}(EcMetAP)]$  and the calculated spectra for Fe-O<sub>5</sub> (dotted; fit 11, Table 3).

that the Co(II) ions in  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(EcMetAP)]$  exhibit no detectable spin-spin interaction, consistent with lack of a Co-Co active site. In support of these data, no integer spin signal could be detected in the parallel mode for *EcMetAP* at pH 7.5 (17). These data clearly indicate that no M-M interaction exists in either the mono- or dimetal Co(II) and Fe(II) EXAFS samples. Therefore, a dinuclear center is not formed upon addition of 1 or 2 equiv of either Co(II) or Fe(II) to *EcMetAP* at enzyme concentrations of 1 mM, which is clearly higher than in vivo MetAP concentrations. Similarly, the two Fe(III) ions in Fe(III)-loaded *EcMetAP* do not exhibit any significant spin-spin interaction on the basis of EPR spectroscopic studies, similar to the two Co(II) ions in Co(II)-substituted MetAP, further indicating that a dinuclear active site does not exist. These data are also consistent with EXAFS spectra of  $[\text{Co(III)Co(III)}(EcMetAP)]$  and  $[\text{Fe(III)Fe(III)}(EcMetAP)]$  which show no M-M interaction (Figure 4; Tables 2 and 3).

The range of temperatures over which the EPR signals from Co(II)-loaded *EcMetAP* were detectable can be compared with the temperature range of the detectable EPR signal from the Co(II)-loaded aminopeptidase from *Aeromonas proteolytica* ( $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(AAP)]$ ) (28, 29). The two cobalt ions in  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(AAP)]$  were shown to be spin-coupled, providing a spin-spin relaxation pathway that results in the spectrum of  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(AAP)]$  obeying  $1/T$  dependence over only a narrow temperature range (9–15 K). This electronic communication is likely mechanistically important for  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)}(AAP)]$  in that a pathway for the modulation




FIGURE 5:  $k^3$ -weighted Co EXAFS (top) and Fourier transforms (bottom, over  $k = 2$ – $12 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ ) for  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)(EcMetAP)}]$  plus fumagillin (solid) and the calculated spectra for  $\text{Co-O}_6$  (dotted; fit 3, Table 4).

of the Lewis acidity of one metal ion by the other is present. Moreover, spin–spin interactions also reveal structural motifs such as  $\mu$ -OH(H) ligands. Since the observed EPR signal of  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)(EcMetAP)}]$  was detectable at temperatures up to 60 K and the signal intensity was found to be inversely proportional to the absolute temperature, following Curie law dependence at nonsaturating microwave powers, one can then speculate that the proposed bridging water molecule observed in the X-ray crystal structure of *EcMetAP* is incapable of mediating detectable spin–spin coupling, presumably because the second metal ion does not exist in the active site in EPR-analyzed samples.

There is precedent for metallohydrolases that have crystallographically characterized dinuclear active sites to exhibit catalytic activity with only one metal ion bound. For instance, AAP, which has been crystallographically characterized, as well as the aminopeptidase from porcine kidney have long been known to be catalytically active with only one divalent metal ion present (31–34). For *EcMetAP*, the addition of up to 200 equiv of either  $\text{Co(II)}$  or  $\text{Fe(II)}$  resulted in a decrease in the catalytic activity, similar to the metal binding properties of the type I MetAP from *S. cerevisiae* but different from those of AAP and porcine kidney (31–35). These data suggest that the binding of a second metal ion to MetAP's is actually inhibitory, which would imply that the second metal ion does not have a catalytic role. Inhibition of catalytic activity by excess divalent metal ions has also been observed for other mononuclear metalloenzymes such as carboxypeptidase *Taq* when overexpressed in *E. coli* (36),




FIGURE 6: X-ray absorption K-edge spectra for  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)(EcMetAP)}]$  plus fumagillin. In the inset, the pre-edge  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  transition is expanded.

bovine carboxypeptidase A (37, 38), and thermolysin (39). Inhibition of carboxypeptidase A was attributed to excess metal ion binding to an amino acid residue in the vicinity of the metallo active site that was involved in catalysis (37). In addition, the authors proposed that a bridging water/hydroxide, inserted between the two metal ions, enhanced the formation of a dinuclear site. This proposal was corroborated by X-ray crystallography where the structures of carboxypeptidase A, as well as thermolysin in the presence of excess metal ion, revealed two coordinated metal ions forming a  $(\mu$ -hydroxo)dizinc(II) core with a Zn–Zn distance of 3.48 and 3.2  $\text{\AA}$ , respectively (39–41). Therefore, the observation that the addition of excess metal ions to *EcMetAP* inhibited enzymatic activity suggests that the inhibition is likely due to the occupation of a noncatalytically relevant metal binding site, similar to carboxypeptidase A.

An important class of MetAP inhibitors is based on natural products of fungal origin, namely, fumagillin and ovalicin. Ovalicin and a synthetic analogue of fumagillin (AGM-1470) have been demonstrated to preferentially inhibit endothelial cell growth in tumor vasculature in vivo (42). On the basis of fumagillin-specific affinity reagents and mass spectroscopic studies on MetAP–fumagillin complexes, MetAP's were identified as the specific target of fumagillins (10, 11). The mode of inhibition was shown to be via the formation of a covalent bond between a conserved histidine residue in MetAP's and an epoxide carbon moiety on fumagillin (10–12, 43). Confirmation that fumagillin reacts with the type I MetAP from *E. coli* comes from mass spectrometric and N-terminal sequence analysis, which indicated that fumagillin covalently binds to an active site histidine residue (His79) that is not a ligand at the dinuclear active site cluster (12). To determine the interaction between the active site  $\text{Co(II)}$  ion of *EcMetAP* and the antiangiogenesis drug fumagillin, the EXAFS spectrum of  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)(EcMetAP)}]$  was recorded after reaction with fumagillin. That fumagillin was covalently bound to a divalent metal ion loaded *EcMetAP* was verified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) spectrometric analysis, which revealed a mass shift of 451 Da, in excellent agreement with the mass of fumagillin (458 Da).

Interestingly, but perhaps not surprisingly, no significant change in the XAS data for  $[\text{Co(II)Co(II)(EcMetAP)}]$



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The methionyl aminopeptidase from *E. coli* was purified from a stock culture kindly provided by Drs. Brian Matthews and W. Todd Lowther.

## REFERENCES

1. Bradshaw, R. A. (1989) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 14, 276–279.
2. Meinnel, T., Mechulam, Y., and Blanquet, S. (1993) *Biochimie* 75, 1061–1075.
3. Bradshaw, R. A., Brickey, W. W., and Walker, K. W. (1998) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23, 263–267.
4. Arfin, S. M., and Bradshaw, R. A. (1988) *Biochemistry* 27, 7979–7984.
5. Hirel, P.-H., Schmitter, J.-M., Dessen, P., Fayat, G., and Blanquet, S. (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 86, 8247–8251.
6. Chang, S.-Y. P., McGary, E. C., and Chang, S. (1989) *J. Bacteriol.* 171, 4071–4072.
7. Miller, C. G., Kukral, A. M., Miller, J. L., and Movva, N. R. (1989) *J. Bacteriol.* 171, 5215–5217.
8. Li, X., and Chang, Y.-H. (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 12357–12361.
9. Taunton, J. (1997) *Chem. Biol.* 4, 493–496.
10. Griffith, E. C., Su, Z., Turk, B. E., Chen, S., Chang, Y.-H., Wu, Z., Biemann, K., and Liu, J. O. (1997) *Chem. Biol.* 4, 461–471.
11. Sin, N., Meng, L., Wang, M. Q., Wen, J. J., Bornmann, W. G., and Crews, C. M. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 6099–6103.
12. Lowther, W. T., McMillen, D. A., Orville, A. M., and Matthews, B. W. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 12153–12157.
13. Liu, S., Widom, J., Kemp, C. W., Crews, C. M., and Clardy, J. (1998) *Science* 282, 1324–1327.
14. Tahirov, T. H., Oki, H., Tsukihara, T., Ogasahara, K., Yutani, K., Ogata, K., Izu, Y., Tsunasawa, S., and Kato, I. (1998) *J. Mol. Biol.* 284, 101–124.
15. Lowther, W. T., Orville, A. M., Madden, D. T., Lim, S., Rich, D. H., and Matthews, B. W. (1999) *Biochemistry* 38, 7678–7688.
16. Roderick, S. L., and Matthews, B. W. (1993) *Biochemistry* 32, 3907–3912.
17. D'souza, V. M., Bennett, B., and Holz, R. C. (2000) *Biochemistry* 39, 3817–3826.
18. D'souza, V. M., and Holz, R. C. (1999) *Biochemistry* 38, 11079–11085.
19. Scott, R. A. (1985) *Methods Enzymol.* 117, 414–458.
20. Teo, B. K. (1981) *EXAFS Spectroscopy. Techniques and Applications*, Plenum Press, New York.
21. Cosper, N. J., Stalhandske, C. M. V., Saari, R. E., Hausinger, R. P., and Scott, R. A. (1999) *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* 4, 122–129.
22. Zabinsky, S. I., Rehr, J. J., Ankudinov, A., Albers, R. C., and J., E. M. (1995) *Phys. Rev. B* 52, 2995–3009.
23. Jansen, J. C., Verhage, M., and van Koningsveld, H. (1982) *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* 11, 305.
24. Strandberg, R., and Lundberg, B. K. S. (1900) *Acta Chem. Scand.* 25, 1767–1774.
25. Wirt, M. D., Sagi, I., Chen, E., Frisbis, S. M., Lee, R., and Chance, M. R. (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 113, 5299–5304.
26. Zhang, J. H., Kurtz, D. M., Maroney, M. J., and Whitehead, J. P. (1991) *Inorg. Chem.* 30, 1359–1366.
27. Lowther, T. W., Zhang, Y., Sampson, P. B., Honek, J. F., and Matthews, B. W. (1999) *Biochemistry* 38, 14810–14819.
28. Bennett, B., and Holz, R. C. (1997) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119, 1923–1933.
29. Bennett, B., and Holz, R. C. (1997) *Biochemistry* 36, 9837–9846.
30. Randall, C. R., Shu, L., Chiou, Y.-M., Hagen, K. S., Ito, M., Kitajima, N., Lachicotte, R. J., Zang, Y., and Que, L., Jr. (1995) *Biochemistry* 34, 1036–1039.
31. Prescott, J. M., and Wilkes, S. H. (1976) *Methods Enzymol.* 45B, 530–543.
32. Prescott, J. M., Wagner, F. W., Holmquist, B., and Vallee, B. L. (1983) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 114, 646–652.
33. Prescott, J. M., Wagner, F. W., Holmquist, B., and Vallee, B. L. (1985) *Biochemistry* 24, 5350–5356.
34. Lehky, P., Lisowski, J., Wolf, D. P., Wacker, H., and Stein, E. A. (1973) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 321, 274–281.
35. Walker, K. W., and Bradshaw, R. A. (1998) *Protein Sci.* 7, 2684–2687.
36. Lee, S. H., Taguchi, H., Yoshimura, E., Minagawa, E., Kaminogawa, S., Ohta, T., and Matsuzawa, H. (1994) *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 58, 1490–1495.
37. Larsen, K. S., and Auld, D. S. (1989) *Biochemistry* 28, 9620–9625.
38. Larsen, K. S., and Auld, D. S. (1991) *Biochemistry* 30, 2613–2618.
39. Holland, D. R., Hausrath, A. C., Juers, D., and Matthews, B. W. (1995) *Protein Sci.* 4, 1955–1965.
40. Gomez-Ortiz, M., Gomis-Ruth, F. X., Huber, R., and Aviles, F. X. (1997) *FEBS Lett.* 400, 336–340.
41. Bukrinsky, J. T., Bjerrum, M. J., and Kadziola, A. (1998) *Biochemistry* 37, 16555–16564.
42. Yamamoto, T., Sudo, K., and Fujita, T. (1994) *Anticancer Res* 14.
43. Turk, B. E., Su, Z., and Liu, J. O. (1998) *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 6, 1163–1169.

BI010837M